

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

INQUIRY INTO THE COMMUNITY OF INTEREST)	
AND AFFECT THEREOF BETWEEN THE AREAS)	CASE NO.
OF GEORGETOWN, KENTUCKY AND LEXINGTON,)	91-149
KENTUCKY)	

O R D E R

On December 10, 1992, South Central Bell Telephone Company ("South Central Bell") and GTE South Incorporated ("GTE South") filed responses to ordering paragraph 4 of the November 25, 1991 Order in this matter. Upon review of these responses, the Commission HEREBY ORDERS that additional information and/or clarification be provided within 20 days of the date of this Order as follows:

1. During its review last year, the message and minute detail did not include the Midway exchange. Has the revised message and minute detail provided by AT&T in response to the Commission's Order been recognized in these proposed rates? If not, explain.

2. The Order discussed the potential reduction to access charges which could dramatically alter the expected change in revenue requirement. Both South Central Bell and GTE South filed revised access tariffs earlier this year. Have those new rates been recognized in recalculating your revenue requirements? If not, why not?

3. Were any other revisions made to the development of the original revenue requirement (i.e., investment, operating expense, number of customers, etc.)? If so, identify these changes. If not, explain.

4. GTE South in its response did not recalculate the rates from those referenced in the November 25, 1991 Order. Provide all supporting data to confirm the assertion that no recalculation is necessary.

5. The response on December 10, 1992 stated that the implementation date would be June 26, 1993. Can the implementation date be expedited? If so, provide a schedule showing the earliest implementation date without sacrificing cost and quality of service.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 18th day of December, 1992.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


For the Commission

ATTEST:


Executive Director